UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,263	09/25/2006	Nalliah Raman	NL040298US1	6364
	7590 10/15/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		BOYD, JONATHAN A		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,263	RAMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	JONATHAN BOYD	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Are no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left).	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f		OC(a) and the annuousiat	a automolom foo
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	021160
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment ( <b>I</b>	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-9</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4 and 10-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an ex	kplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Amr Awad/	/J. B./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Examiner, Art Unit 2629		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claim 17 by changing from "(i) or (ii)" to (i) and (ii)" change the scope of the claim and will therefore require further consideration. The amendments of claim 15, namely changing from "(i) or (ii)" to "(i) and/or (ii)" do not change the scope of the claim and will still be read as "(i) or (ii)". The statement "and/or" in claim 15 does not force the Examiner to read on both "parts" of the "and/or" statement as stated by Applicant

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertions on Page 6 of the Remarks that the 35 U.S.C 112 rejections of claims 15,17 and 18 are not proper. No where in the instant application's disclosure, nor claims, can it be found that there are temporary thresholds being set, to properly support Applicant's assertion. Therefore the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 15, 17 and 18 are deemed proper.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion on pages 7-10 of the Remarks that Park does not disclose claim 15, particularly: "means for selecting a dimmed brightness level of the light source in dependence on the gray levels of the image pixels, the means for selecting being adapted to: select the dimmed brightness level in dependence on: (i) a number of occurrences of a gray level corresponding to a brightness level of display pixels above the dimmed brightness level, OR (ii) a number of occurrences of a gray level corresponding to a brightness level of display pixels below a predetermined brightness level being a fixed or adjustable level determined in dependence on the dimmed brightness level, and means for adapting the input signal in dependence on the dimmed brightness level". In p[0030]-p[0035] Park teaches counting a number of occurrences of low gray levels and high gray levels within an image and outputting a luminance control signal to alter the luminance of the backlight dependent on the count. P[0035] states that when the high gray levels are greater than the low gray levels that the luminance of the backlight is set to an increased value, and in the opposite case when the low gray levels are greater than the high gray levels the luminance of the backlight is set to a value, less than the value of the first case. P[0045] further states how the gray levels are counted by counting the amount of data over a first predetermined gray level and the amount of data below a second predetermined gray level. Thus there is a means for selecting a dimmed brightness level of the backlight by counting a number of occurrences of data over a first predetermined gray level and adapting a signal to control the luminance of the backlight dependent on the results of the count as shown in Figure 3.

Further, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertions on page 11 and 12 that claims 4,11,19 and 20 should be allowable based on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. The Examiner's reasons for allowance are pointing to the particular error function in claim 5, which is the basis for the allowable subject matter. No where in claims 4,11, 19 or 20 is this function cited.